

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 08/05/2004

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/658,393	(	09/10/2003	Go Saito	648.41969CX1	2265	
20457	7590	08/05/2004		EXAMINER		
	•	RY, STOUT & KR ITEENTH STREET	TOLEDO, FERNANDO L			
SUITE 1800		HEENIH SIKEEL	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ARLINGTO		22209-9889	2823			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
		10/658,393	SAITO ET AL.	OK.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	<u> </u>				
		Fernando L. Toledo	2823					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress				
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  In period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this cor D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status			,					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 24 No	ovember 2003.						
2a)□		action is non-final.						
•	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Dispositi	on of Claims							
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 4-11 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) Claim(s) 4-11 is/are rejected.  7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	on Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on 10 September 2003 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine	are: a) accepted or b) objected or b	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	R 1.121(d).				
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>								
2) Notic 3) Inform	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date 09102003.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:		-152)				

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Specification**

1. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 4 9 and 11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Mui et al. (U. S. patent 6,235,643 B1).

In re claims 4, 7 and 11; Mui in the U. S. patent 6,235,643 B1; figures 1 – 7 and related text, discloses forming a multilayer film including an isolation layer on a semiconductor substrate (Figures 4 and 5); forming a resist mask 408 by patterning a resist applied on the multilayer film; etching the multilayer film using the resist mask (Figures 4B and 5A); removing the resist mask after completing the etching (Figure 5B); and processing the semiconductor substrate to create a trench 416 or 518, having an upper en portion, utilizing the multilayer film having removed the resist as a mask (Figure 5C), wherein the step of processing the semiconductor substrate includes providing a roundness to the upper end portion of the trench by

adhering a reaction product composed at least of the semiconductor substrate and a reaction gas to sidewall portions of the multilayer film (Column 8, Lines 63 - 67).

- In re claim 7, Mui discloses wherein the step of processing the semiconductor substrate 4. includes providing a round-off processing to the upper portion of the trench of the semiconductor substrate, using a reaction gas including hydrogen (Table 1).
- 5. In re claims 5 and 8, Mui discloses wherein the multilayer film includes at least a silicon nitride layer (406, 506) and a silicon oxide layer (404, 504).
- 6. In re claims 6 and 9, Mui discloses wherein in performing a desired round-off processing by controlling the reaction product, gaseous species, and gas flow rate to round off the upper portion or a bottom portion of the trench (Table 1).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all 7. obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claim 10 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Mui as applied to 8. claims 4 - 9 and 11 above, and further in view of Williams et al. (U. S. patent 6,589,879 B2).

Mui discloses forming a multilayer film including an isolation layer on a semiconductor substrate (Figures 4 and 5); forming a resist mask 408 by patterning a resist applied on the multilayer film; etching the multilayer film using the resist mask (Figures 4B and 5A); removing the resist mask after completing the etching (Figure 5B); and processing the semiconductor Application/Control Number: 10/658,393

Art Unit: 2823

substrate to create a trench 416 or 518, having an upper en portion, utilizing the multilayer film

having removed the resist as a mask (Figure 5C), wherein a desired round-off processing is

performed by controlling etching time and bias voltage of the first etching and the second

etching (Table 1).

Mui does not teach etching the semiconductor substrate by using a mixed gas including

Cl<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and HBr. However, Williams, in the U. S. patent 6,589,879 B2 discloses that it is well

known to etch silicon substrate with a mix of gases including HBr, Cl<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>2</sub> in order to give

the trench the desired profile (Column 2, Lines 27 - 30).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the

invention was made to etch the silicon substrate of Mui, with Cl<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and HBr, since, as taught

by Williams etching silicon substrate with Cl<sub>2</sub>, O<sub>2</sub>, and HBr to give the trench the desired profile

is well-known in the art.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Fernando L. Toledo whose telephone number is 571-272-1867.

The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Thu 7am to 5:30pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

George Fourson
Primary Examiner
Art Unit 2823

FToledo

2 August 2004